

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/645,831	08/20/2003	Spencer B. Dick	PAI 309A	7652		
23581	7590 11/16/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.			CHAU, N	CHAU, MINH H		
520 S.W. YAMHILL STREET SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PORTLAND, OR 97204			2854			
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/64	5,831	DICK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit	,			
		Minh I	H Chau	2854	l m			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence ac	dress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n nication. days, a reply within the utory period will apply a rill, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a restatutory minimum of third and will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timel  ITHS from the mailing date of this c  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on 20 August 2	003.					
2a)□		o)⊠ This action						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>16-18</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 August 200</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to	$0.3$ is/are: a) $\square$ are ion to the drawing the correction is re	(s) be held in abeyar quired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) [ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of None of:  2. Certified copies of the priority of None of:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of None of:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation of None of	ocuments have locuments have left the priority doctal Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in A uments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infon	e of References Cited (PTO-892) vertice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Previous)		Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT0 	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/645,831 Page 2

Art Unit: 2854

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gaesser et al. (Pub. No. US 2004/0027038A1).

With respect to claim 15, Gaesser et al. teach an apparatus for controlling material processing comprising a saw machine including a saw (104) and a pushing mechanism (102) configured to automatically push material (116) toward the saw, a computer (118) connected to the saw machine, the computer being programmed to control optimized cutting of stock to satisfy a cut list, and a printer (120) connected to the computer and positioned near an out-feed on the saw machine, the computer being programmed to print labels automatically for pieces conforming to the cut list (see Figs. 1, 5 and paragraph [0030-0032] of Gaesser et al.)

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/645,831

Art Unit: 2854

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harnden (US # 5,365,812) in view of Gaesser et al. (Pub. No. US 2004/0027038A1).

With respect to claim 15, Harnden teaches an apparatus for controlling material processing comprising a saw machine including a saw (42) and a pushing mechanism (26) configured to automatically push material (20) toward the saw, a computer (Fig. 3) connected to the saw machine, the computer being programmed to control optimized cutting of stock to satisfy a cut list (col. 7, lines 5-20), and a printer (110) connected to the computer and positioned near an out-feed on the saw machine, the computer being programmed to print information automatically for pieces conforming to the cut list (see Figs. 1-6 and cols. 5-7 of Harnden).

Harnden teaches all the limitation as explained above, except for printing information on labels.

Gaesser et al. teach an apparatus for processing a workpiece including a printer (120) for printing information related to the cut workpiece on a label (see paragraph [0032] of Gaesser et al.)

In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to modify the device of Harnden to include the printer for printing information related to the cut workpiece on a label as taught by Gaesser et al. for the advantage of allowing the labeling an un-labeling the cut workpiece easier.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-14 are allowed.

Claims 16-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

**6.** The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 1-11 have been indicated for allowance because the prior art fails to teach the entire combination of a method for cutting material including the steps of marking any defects in the piece of material, automatically calculating a plan for optimal cutting of the piece of material to fulfill cut list requirements, executing the plan including automatically pushing the piece of material toward the saw, and cutting the piece of material according to the plan into one or more cut list parts, and automatically printing labels for the cut list parts, each label indicating information about the part.

Claims 12-14 have been indicated for allowance because the prior art fails to teach the entire combination of a method for cutting material including the steps of using the computer to automatically determine a cutting plan for optimal cutting of the piece of material to fulfill cut list requirements, and in which: (a) salvage pieces having a length less than Smin are cut to lengths of DBmax or less, and (b) defect pieces having a length less than Dmin are cut to lengths of DBmax or less; except if adjacent salvage and defect pieces have a combined length greater than Dmin then the adjacent pieces are not cut to DBmax or less regardless of their individual lengths, executing the plan

including automatically pushing the piece of material toward the saw, and cutting the piece of material according to the plan into one or more cut list parts, and automatically printing labels for the cut list parts and for salvage and defect pieces that are not cut to lengths of Dbmax or less.

Claim 16 has been indicated for containing allowable subject matter because the prior art fails to teach the entire combination of an apparatus for controlling material processing including the computer is also programmed to print labels automatically for salvage pieces having lengths equal to or greater than a predetermined minimum.

Claim 17 has been indicated for containing allowable subject matter because the prior art fails to teach the entire combination of an apparatus for controlling material processing including the computer is also programmed to print labels automatically for defect pieces having lengths equal to or greater than a predetermined minimum.

Claim 18 has been indicated for containing allowable subject matter because the prior art fails to teach the entire combination of an apparatus for controlling material processing including the computer is also programmed to print labels automatically for adjacent salvage and defect pieces having a combined length equal to or greater than a predetermined minimum.

7. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/645,831 Page 6

Art Unit: 2854

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. The Applicant's attention is invited to the patents to Blaine et al.

(US # 5,444635), Douglas (US # 5,663,882) and Rousseau (US # 6,422,111).

**9.** Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Minh H Chau whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2156. The examiner can normally be reached on M - TH 9:30AM - 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew H Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh H Chau Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854

Minhelau

MHC November 12, 2004